# Influences of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on the microstructure and mechanical behavior of Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites

#### GANG YANG, JUNCHU LI, GAOCHAO WANG

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanchang Institute of Aeronautical Technology, Nanchang 330034, Jiangxi, People's Republic of China

#### MASATOMO YASHIMA

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori, Yokohama 226-8502, Japan

#### SILIN MIN

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanchang Institute of Aeronautical Technology, Nanchang 330034, Jiangxi, People's Republic of China

Published online: 28 September 2005

Influences of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on the mechanical properties and microstructure of hot-pressing Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ceramics were investigated. Meanwhile, t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> to m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> transformation toughening mechanism was investigated by X-ray diffractometry (XRD) method, and deflection of samples under applied stress were recorded too. The results show that when the percentage of ZrO<sub>2</sub> was 20%, the mechanical properties and microstructures of materials are optimum. Moreover, TEM observation show dislocation structures formation both in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and on the grain boundary. Because the dislocation agglomeration and fixation by ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles could deflect cracking or stop cracking development, a strengthening and toughening effect could be achieved.

© 2005 Springer Science + Business Media, Inc.

#### 1. Introduction

After Niihara and coworkers [1] discovered that the fracture strength of  $Al_2O_3$  ceramics could be greatly improved by addition of small amounts of SiC nanoparticles (about 5 vol%), more and more composite ceramics with nanoparticles as the second phase, also known as nanocomposites, have been investigated. Meanwhile, the fracture behavior of nanocomposites is of interest because it has been demonstrated that they can exhibit unusually high fracture strength [2].

It is well-known that zirconia ceramics has the excellent mechanical properties including high fracture strength and high fracture toughness, so it could be added to ceramic matrix as the second phase to improve the fracture behavior of composite ceramics. By far the most commonly exploited ZrO<sub>2</sub>-containing ceramics has been ZrO<sub>2</sub>-toughened Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (ZTA) [3–5]. The earlier study results indicated positive toughening effect of ZrO<sub>2</sub> in the ZrO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites. Maximum fracture strength and fracture toughness are achieved with 10 and 20 vol% ZrO<sub>2</sub> in the presence of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as dopant, respectively [6]. Nevertheless, it has been found that the reports on the effects of ZrO<sub>2</sub> containing

0022-2461 © 2005 Springer Science + Business Media, Inc. DOI: 10.1007/s10853-005-2143-0

ceramics are different yet because of different research methods and experimental environments. Therefore, it is still necessary to further investigate the effects of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on the microstructure and mechanical properties of Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> naocomposites.

The purpose of the present study is to prepare Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> naocomposites by hot-pressing method and to investigate effects of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on the microstructure and mechanical properties. In addition, to the best of my knowledge, the reports about dislocation structures in ceramics are much less although some authors have ever reported on it, so the present work try to investigate the effect of the dislocation structure in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix on mechanical properties of Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> naocomposites.

### 2. Experimental procedure

#### 2.1. Sample preparation

The self-prepared Ce-TZP nanometer powder and commercial Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder were used in the present experiment. Ce-TZP nanometer powder with an average particle diameter of 40 nm was prepared from ZrOCl<sub>2</sub>. 8H<sub>2</sub>O, Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> and AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O by chemical coprecipitation method. Five kinds of Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composite powders were prepared by mixture of  $Al_2O_3$ powder with 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 wt% Ce-TZP powder, respectively. Subsequently, five kinds of Ce-TZP/Al\_2O\_3 composite powders were hot-pressed into cylinder specimens with 50 mm in diameter and 5 mm in height at 1450°C with 20 MPa, respectively.

2.2. Measurement of mechanical properties Samples were cut from hot-pressed cylinder specimens to be bars with size of  $3 \times 4 \times 35$  mm for fracture strength and  $2.5 \times 5 \times 25$  mm for fracture toughness with a diamond-impregnated saw. In accordance with 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50% Ce-TZP contents, the samples are designated as sample 1, sample 2, sample 3, sample 4 and sample 5. The fracture strength were measured by the three-point bending method with a span of 30 mm and a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min, whereas fracture toughness were determined by single edge notched beam (SENB) with the a span of 20 mm and a crosshead speed of 0.05 mm/min on ZPM-100KN materials tester. Meanwhile, the deflection under applied stress were recorded. Vickers hardness tests were carried out on the polished surface with a load of 9.8 N. Bulk density were measured by the Archimedes method and the relative density were calculated.

#### 2.3. Microstructure analysis

The morphologies of fracture surfaces and polished surface of samples were observed by Quanta 200 scanning electron microscope (SEM). In order to investigate stress-induced transformation toughening of zirconia, the phase composition of the materials before and after subjected to the applied stress was determined by D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer (XRD). Additionally, the microstructures of samples were also investigated by JEM-2010 transmission electron microscope (TEM).

#### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Effect of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content on mechanical properties

Fig. 1 shows that the mechanical properties of Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> naocomposites are influenced by  $ZrO_2$  content. It is found that relative densities of samples increase with increases of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content from 10 to 20%, but then declines from 20 to 50%. The relative density reaches the maximum value when the percentage of ZrO<sub>2</sub> is 20%. Meanwhile, fracture strength and fracture toughness take the same change tendency. When ZrO<sub>2</sub> content is 20%, the relative density, fracture toughness and fracture strength reach 99.3%, 9.69 MPa $\cdot$ m<sup>1/2</sup> and 1092 MPa, respectively. These results are related to the densification of materials during hot-pressing. When its percentage is less than 20%, ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can be uniformly dispersed in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix. Because the homogeneous dispersion of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix can effectively restrain the abnormal growth of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains, ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can accelerate densification of samples under this circumstance. A full densification can be achieved when amount of ZrO<sub>2</sub> is 20% (see Fig. 2a). But when its percentage is more than 20%, ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can not be uniformly dispersed in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix (see Fig. 2b). The inhomogeneous dispersion of ZrO2 nanoparticles in Al2O3 matrix could cause the abnormal growth of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains (see Fig. 3), which could, in turn, lead to expansion till cracking, so the relative densities, fracture strength and fracture toughness of samples with more than 20%  $ZrO_2$  decrease.

Additionally, the Vicker's hardness of five samples are HV13.4, HV12.33, HV12.21, HV11.62 and HV11.05, respectively. These results could be interpreted as hardness mainly related to the characteristics of materials. Because Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was composed of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, its hardness determined by percentages of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. ZrO<sub>2</sub> is softer than Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, so the hardness of samples always decreased with increases of ZrO<sub>2</sub> contents.

## 3.2. The strengthening and toughening mechanisms

In order to help investigate toughness, the maximum deflection of five samples were recorded when fracture strength and fracture toughness were measured. These values are shown in Fig. 4. It is found that the deflec-



Figure 1 Effect of ZrO<sub>2</sub> content on fracture toughness (a), fracture strength (b) and relative density.



Figure 2 SEM micrographs of fracture surface (a) sample 2 and (b) sample 5.



Figure 3 SEM micrographs of polished surface of sample 5.



*Figure 4* Influences of  $ZrO_2$  (wt%) on deflection of samples.

tion increases with increases of  $ZrO_2$  content firstly and reaches the maximum value at 20%  $ZrO_2$ , then decreases with increases of  $ZrO_2$  content to the minimum value at 50%  $ZrO_2$ . This variation trend is the same as that of fracture strength, relative density and fracture toughness. This result also indicates that compared with the other samples, sample 2 has the best strengthening and toughening effects.

In Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites, ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles distributed not only on the grain boundary but also in the grain of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, also known as the intergranular and intragranular ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles [7], respectively. The grain boundary between Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains could be defined as main boundary while the grain boundary between Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> grains as the secondary boundary. There were lots of secondary boundaries in Ce-TZP/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites. Because the thermal expansion coefficient and elastic modular of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix is different from that of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, a residual stress existed on the secondary grain boundary. In addition, there was tensile stress around the ZrO<sub>2</sub> particles in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix. This kind of tensile stress transmitted from Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains to the grain boundary to form a compress stress, which could strengthen the grain boundary. Meanwhile, the residual stress could activate dislocations which are shown in Fig. 5a and b. It is found that a lot of dislocations in Fig. 5a gather at Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grain boundary, whereas dislocations in Fig. 5b distribute inside Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains. Because the dislocation agglomeration and fixation by ZrO2 nanoparticles could deflect cracking or stop cracking development, a strengthening and toughening effect would be achieved.

Moreover, in the ZrO<sub>2</sub>-containing ceramics, the widely accepted toughening mechanism is transformation toughening via tetragonal-to-monoclinic transformation. Wide spread  $t \rightarrow m$  transformation has been observed by XRD, TEM and neutron diffraction in zirconia subjected to applied stress [8]. In order to investigate transformation toughening of  $ZrO_2$  in  $Ce-TZP/Al_2O_3$  ceramics., the phase constituents of the samples before and after subjected to load were determined by X-ray diffractometry (XRD). The measurement results of all samples indicated that there were only  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and t-ZrO<sub>2</sub> before subjected to the applied stress, whereas the m-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, except  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and t-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, occurred in the samples after subjected to the applied stress. These results indicated that part of t- $ZrO_2$  transformed into m- $ZrO_2$  under the applied stress, so it could be interpreted as the stress-induced transformation toughening of zirconia. Moreover, compared with other samples, sample with 20% ZrO<sub>2</sub> obtained the highest percentage of m-ZrO<sub>2</sub> under the applied stress. Fig. 6a and b show that XRD results of sample with





Figure 5 TEM bright field micrographs of sample 2 with 20% ZrO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 6 XRD micrograph of sample 2 with 20% ZrO<sub>2</sub> before (a) and after (b) subjected to the applied stress.

20% ZrO<sub>2</sub> before and after subjected to the applied stress, respectively.

#### 4. Summary

The present results show that influences of  $ZrO_2$ nanoparticles in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix on mechanical properties and microstructures are evident. When ZrO<sub>2</sub> content is less than 20%, the densification is improved with increases of ZrO<sub>2</sub>, but ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanparticles is disadvantageous to densification of materials when its content is more than 20%. The mechanical properties and microstructures are both optimum when ZrO<sub>2</sub> content is 20%. This is because homogenous distribution of less than 20% ZrO<sub>2</sub> in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix could restrain abnormal growth of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> grains, whereas more than 20% ZrO<sub>2</sub> causes a negative effect. Furthermore, it is found that the deflection also reaches the maximum value when ZrO<sub>2</sub> content is 20%. TEM observation shows that dislocation structures formation both in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and on the grain boundary could deflect cracking or stop cracking development, so a strengthening and toughening effect would be achieved. Meanwhile, the XRD analysis of  $t \rightarrow m$  transformation toughening mechanism of  $ZrO_2$  indicated that  $t \rightarrow m$  transformation occurred in all of samples under applied stress.

#### Acknowledgment

The financial supports from Educational Fund of Jiangxi province (DB200201009) and the Fund of Materials Science and Engineering Center of Jiangxi Province (KG200201020), China are highly appreciated.

#### References

- 1. K. NIIHARA, J. Cram. Soc. Jpn, 99(10) (1991) 974.
- 2. I. E. REIMANIS, Mater. Sci. Eng. A237 (1997) 159.
- R. H. J. HANNIK, PATRICK M. KELLY and BARRY C. MUDDLE, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 83(3) (2000) 461.
- 4. N. K. MITRA, SUPRATIM DAS, S. MAITRA, et al. Ceram. Intern. 28 (2002) 827.
- 5. Q. TAI and A. MOCELLIN, ibid. 25 (1999) 395.
- Y. ZHOU, "Ceramic Materials (in Chinese)" (Harbin Institute of Technology Press, 1995) p. 279.
- 7. LI XIUHUA, et al. Mater. Sci. Tech. (in Chinese) **11**(4) (2003) 427.
- 8. YUXIANG MA, ERICH H. KISI, et al. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **84**(2) (2001) 399.

Received 9 September 2004 and accepted 9 May 2005